UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,389	12/15/2003	Thomas E. Creamer	BOC9-2003-0088 (459)	6219
40987 AKERMAN SE	7590 01/07/200 ENTERFITT	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 3183		NOONAN, WILLOW W		
WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188		88	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2446	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Comments	10/736,389	CREAMER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Willow Noonan	2446		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 O</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o				
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/736,389 Page 2

Art Unit: 2446

DETAILED ACTION

1. The instant application having Application No. 10/736,389 has a total of 3 claims pending in the application; there is 1 independent claims and 2 dependent claims, all of which are ready for examination by the examiner. There are 21 cancelled claims.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/23/2008 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2446

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Benenati** (U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2004/0193712) in view of **Faccin** (U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2003/0014668) and further in view of **Pailer** ("A Service Framework for Carrier Grade Multimedia Services using Parlay APIs over a SIP system").

Regarding claim 1, Benenati teaches a method of authenticating a mobile communication device within a mobile network, which is a voice network, and a wireless network, which is a data network. See Benenati at p. 1, paragraph 14. Benenati teaches providing a mobile communication device configured to communicate over the mobile network and the wireless network. See id. Benenati teaches the mobile communication device receiving authentication data from a mobile service provider over the mobile network when the mobile communication device is within communication range of the mobile network. See id. at p. 4, paragraph 38 ("Upon verification of the signature the AAA entity authorizes the user and may send a key for encryption and a new key material to be used in future authentication process."). Benenati teaches the mobile communication device forming a token using the authentication data received from the mobile service provider communications network and sending the token to a server via a wireless communications link over the wireless networks. See id. at p. 4, paragraph 39 ("thus it may become possible for client software at the user to automatically supply the user's authentication credentials whenever the user moves between air interface technologies"). Benenati teaches the server interpreting the token and forming a request for authentication using data specified by the token; and the

Application/Control Number: 10/736,389

Art Unit: 2446

server sending the request for authentication of the mobile communication device to the mobile service provider. See Benenati at p. 3, paragraph 32-33 ("resolve the authoritative AAA server and to forward the authentication request message to the appropriate H-AAA server"). Benenati teaches the mobile service provider confirming or denying the request for authentication by sending a response to the server, the server receiving the response from the mobile service provider and sending a reply to the mobile communication device over the wireless communications link indicating whether the request for authentication was confirmed, and the mobile communication device receiving [[a]] the reply from the SIP server. See Benenati at p. 4, paragraph 38 ("Upon verification of the signature the AAA entity authorizes the user and may send a key for encryption and a new key material to be used in future authentication process.").

Page 4

Benenati does not teach that the authentication server is a Session Initiation

Protocol (SIP) server. However, Faccin teaches that it is well known to use SIP for
authenticating communication devices in a network. See Faccin at fig. 1; Faccin at p. 2,
paragraph 26. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to use Faccin's
technique in Jones' system because Faccin teaches that the disclosed technique may
be used to authenticate a subscriber in a mobile terminated call to increase system
security. See Faccin at p. 1, paragraph 10.

Modified Benenati does not teach that the request for validation uses Parlay.

However, Pailer teaches that it is well known map SIP functionality to Parlay services.

See Pailer, Abstract. It would have been obvious to use Pailer's technique in Jones'

system because Pailer teaches that the use of the Parlay APIs may speed up application development and increase interoperability. See Pailer, Abstract.

Regarding claim 3, Benenati teaches that the wireless network is compliant with an 802.11 wireless communications protocol. *See id.*

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Benenati** (U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2004/0193712) in view of **Faccin** (U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2003/0014668), further in view of **Pailer** ("A Service Framework for Carrier Grade Multimedia Services using Parlay APIs over a SIP system"), and further in view of **Applicant's admitted prior art** (hereafter "AAPA").

Regarding claim 2, AAPA teaches that the wireless network is compliant with at least one of an 802.16, 802.20, or 802.15 wireless communications protocol. *See* present application at p. 2. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to use any of the wireless standards taught by AAPA in Benenati's system because Benenati teaches that the disclosed invention may be applied to any transmission system. *See* Benenati at p. 1, paragraph 14.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willow Noonan whose telephone number is (571)270-1322. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 AM-5:00 PM EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,389 Page 6

Art Unit: 2446

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Pwu can be reached on (571) 272-6798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/W. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 2446

/Jeffrey Pwu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2446